



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,202	10/10/2000	Martin Rofheart	195671US8	4316
23400	7590 10/28/2004		EXAMINER	
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC			NGUYEN, HUY D	
11250 ROGE SUITE 10	R BACON DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, V	A 20190		2681	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/685,202	ROFHEART ET AL.	
, acrossy reason	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Huy D Nguyen	2681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application () a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application	a in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriation originally set in the final Office	te extension e action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplif	ying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		eparate, timely filed ame	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT pla	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which were ne	wly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	7		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:	· / · - F - · · · · · · ·	•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants stated that there's lack of motivation to combine the teachings of Hershey and Ross. The examiner states that the motivation is taught in Ross (col. 8, line 37). Furthermore, the UWB has originated in 1962 and is known for its low probability of intercept and detection, multipath immunity, precision ranging (see Newton's Telecom Dictionary, pages 777-778). The applicant's independent claims don't specify what function to be performed using the amount of time determined.

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600